DELEGATE ADKINS: If it does, perhaps the amendment isn't necessary. My thought is the language,
confrontation, does not guarantee the right of crossexamination.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair certainly doesn't want to speak with authority on it, but I always understood that the confrontation clause meant that you be confronted with the witnesses in the course of trial and that due process required that you have opportunity to cross-examine.

authoritatively on that case, I won't press the amendment.

I know of no case, the Committee Report suggests no report and discussing the matter with the General Assembly, they know of no definitive meaning of confrontation which means confrontation includes as a matter of absolute constitutional right the mater of cross-examination.

THE CHAIRMAN: May I make this suggestion that the amendment be passed over, request Mr. Smith on the Committee Staff if he could research that overnight and the answer may or may not be in order. I don't know.

DELEGATE ADKINS: I am perfectly willing to